

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 AFSHIN BAHRAMPOUR,

Case No. 2:21-cv-2159-MMD

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

8 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,

9 Defendants.

10
11 The Court received the “application seeking leave to file per Judge Navarro’s order
12 dated April 14, 2017” (the “Application”). (ECF No. 1.) In the Application, potential Plaintiff
13 Afshin Bahrampour seeks permission to file a new case against the National Security
14 Agency (“NSA”) and certain unspecified other Defendants, seeking judicial review of their
15 allegedly improper decisions not to release documents in response to Bahrampour’s
16 FOIA request or requests. Bahrampour also attached a copy of Judge Navarro’s 2017
17 order. (*Id.* at 3-5.) However, the Court needs more information from Bahrampour before
18 it can decide whether to permit him to file a new case. Specifically, the Court needs more
19 information about the investigation Bahrampour conducted before seeking leave to file
20 this case, what his proposed claims are, and how the facts he uncovered during his
21 investigation support the claims he wishes to allege in this proposed case.

22 It is therefore ordered that Bahrampour must supplement the Application by
23 January 7, 2022, with a detailed explanation of the investigation Bahrampour conducted
24 before seeking leave to file this case, what his proposed claims are, and how the facts he
25 uncovered during his investigation support the claims he wishes to allege in this proposed
26 case.

27 The Clerk of Court is directed to open a new case number for this matter and
28 temporarily assign it to Chief Judge Miranda M. Du.

1 It is further ordered that, once the Court is able to review Bahrampour's
2 supplement, it will issue a subsequent order either granting or denying Bahrampour's
3 Application.

4 It is further ordered that, if the Court permits Bahrampour to file a new case in a
5 subsequent order, the case will be randomly assigned to another District Judge and
6 Bahrampour will have to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and proposed
7 complaint in compliance with the Local Rules. The presiding judge may still dismiss the
8 proposed complaint or deny the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

9 It is further ordered that this order does not give Bahrampour permission to file a
10 new case, or any further documents in any closed cases. At this time, the Court grants
11 Bahrampour leave to file a supplement to his Application as specified herein, and nothing
12 else.

13 DATED THIS 8th Day of December 2021.



MIRANDA M. DU
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE